

4-24

Book a problem is not just : T; as "prohib" : but  
2 NW (which was was  
hard)

[COS; montech law : use of guns in civil unrest  
in US (!) → military role in  
repression

(BHO

Wallenstein

[He is relatively (to me!) optimistic : 50:50

"34% of US pop. said (recently) socialism  
was preferable to capitalism" "Expanding!"  
"2 years ago, not 2%"



Tuesday  
3-24-09

Book

1

Would USG have been actively  
detained, under all circumstances, from SIO P-62, by

- 1) Poss. of nuclear unit: foreigners;  
or, "small"; or, less than (non-)  
certain?

Would we risk "destroying the  
world — to save it"?

~~W~~

- 2) Would we accept losing Europe —  
the (almost?) sure destruction of Europe,  
(actually, always) held hostage of SU

[In fact: We "won": ~~SU~~ We  
didn't withdraw or back down, SU didn't win.

(2) (Larger idea may have been willing,  
in '60

(if not for U-2's)

and JFK was (?) willing to back down  
in '62

(originally, shoot-down of U-2  
— leading to RFK ult. (bluff?)  
may have saved him?)



3-25-09

3rd Catastrophe Waiting to Happen

(DE/K/T): Catastrophes are preceded  
by Warnings  
like me

(also, lots of noise, like RW's  
Pearl Harbor? Warning of other  
(implied? first no likely?) catastrophes  
(that do, or don't happen?)

Room - surgery?

"The End is Here" (That, yes)

(How any one way, is the end?)

Even the current melt-down:

UK coronation in 2007, you-know  
Kingman, <sup>C</sup>Johnson, ...

But even now - are people anticipating  
"inevitably, reasonably, promptly?"  
Is this BHO? NO! Coughs? NO...



3-25-09

2

Books some are here me

(cf. the emigre Cuban reports  
that were credible, of MRBMs, pre-C-II)

(see warnings of emigre pens  
on Holocaust)

(Warnings

How are "surprise attacks" achieved  
successfully?

Aren't there, or not, usually  
warnings?

See build-up of Ghera and  
then Pithu; yet Ghera were  
surprised by Poland [Ghera sentinels]

calling  
friend

"We thought it was to be a  
Great Power: unexpected, crowded,  
because of THREAT-power

(= "It was only for THREATS"



3-25-09

3

i.e. like fore - WWI

(but see, WWI insured!  
It got used, for more than that!

Alright, on Kosovo - What's the  
use of all this, if you don't want  
to use it?!"

(It had recently been used as  
it was "supposed" to be - by Powell -  
against real aggression!

[ Basis for most US FO threats -  
put it to work!

like A-Bomb, validity  
MP, as admission, with Herzegovina;  
(Opdenheim a city,  
Semi Malaga, not on the front!  
near Torquay)



3-25-09

4

If Barb goes off in 50-100  
years

or if Big War is 100-500 years,  
will "Human reason, our control,  
politics"  
have proven "successful"

for "being able / prepared for  
so long: 64 years (this year)?  
another 64?  
300?  
1000?

or will the historians (if any?)  
ask: How could they have let this  
line be so long there, for X years,  
without stopping it.

in the line motion shall mean y  
back in Rock Kim

or, CBU's

- the "mines" in Lebanon

(Assallah explained to Fowler  
Saronis won't provide maps]

BURIED MINE



[To what extent and the (tech nature  
of) the arms race before WWI  
contributed to "causing" the War?

see by  
current: Fay  
(but?)

(offensive; FS, not 2nd strike (manifestation  
function; rigidity (complexity)  
belief in short war,

google Warnings of WWI?

WWI: Was human blitz foreseen?  
(not, Oradennes)  
(if anyone?)

gun tanks, tor air, radio, combined ars?  
Was it "threatened"?

(Didn't work, on way into Austria!



3-25-09

6

on nrcs: One of the "seems"  
involved by the Papers (NP) — like PP —  
is what is not there —

Indications of the "usual, down  
reactions" to the height of attorney  
with nrcs (vs. "using" of hints):

— "Unthinkable!"

"Kills too many"

"too many innocents"

"Out of the question! Aband!"

(see file to CBS, '66!)

— 4



3-25-09

7

Am I - will I be seen (if at all) -  
simply as one more

"pre-catastrophic Doom-seer"

Cassandra

(Was her problem, "noise"?

or, too low prior prob?

too low "credibility" of Cass.

("mad girl")

- one (me) who drift (yrt)  
do "eventually be covered to  
warm"?

Who lets months, years, decades  
go by while he was doing something  
else, delaying publication, being  
pallid?

(as during PP!

How explain it?

(not exactly eventually,  
though "eventually")



see NYT ed today, 3-25-09

Does MP-II program, except for omission  
 of any mention of NFU!  
 We want prevent prolif

or danger from  
NWS — not just  
 "new states like him"  
 or "Ts"!

or FU!

(US: India-Pak: False alarm or see of DM's

(or <sup>desperately</sup> ~~destroy~~ the D.M's — still two  
 of the who we'd done to 1000  
 each! (SU/R is as deluged as US  
 in this, even if we "draw" now  
 (SU didn't "draw" to limitate:  
 see China)

without proclaiming NFU and enacting  
 it (as other parts of NYT ed do —  
 moving the lines ...)



3-9-9

Regress investigate

What is the human, and environmental  
impact on Russia  
on allies  
on neutrals  
on us

of an unusual "options" for war  
with Russia (or China!)  
a few!

(NK; Pak;

(total pop. and?)



B-3-9

If B-2 had been available in Jan '45

1) Would it not have been used  
vs. Germany, the Bulge?

- not worse than Dresden!

- Berlin attempt at prisoner

2) And against Japan! <sup>there?</sup> (Okinawa?)

- not as bad as worse than Tokyo!

- How many dropped before August?  
death toll?

- Would it have ended war? (No)



3-9-9

If Americans don't care about Evil by us -  
what we do to others

or Evil done by and to Others (Darfur

IRAN e.g. Civ cas in Iran from  
US mve attack? JCS standing?

Rwanda  
India-Pak

Investigate China plans!

NBAAR - MISSES!

If ~~no~~, after all, get concerned about  
possibly ~~there~~ SUICIDAL WAR PLANS

Creation and Proliferation  
of Instability

+ poss. of false alarm

or Delegated decision

CMX / SS-13

land-based missiles

Aircrew / LOW / Delegation /  
Automation

("The Football" as a box, a charade  
- assuming we ~~that~~ only the Pres can  
launch! (How many ~~pages~~ Buttons,  
how many frigates?)

SIOP-62!

DESTRUCTION OF  
ALLIES! NEUTRALS!

or India-Pak!

And other countries have a vital  
interest in ~~the~~ knowing

and affirming

the intent on the of our (or Russian)  
plans!

(see ecological effects of a 2-nuclear  
exchange

"No annihilation without representation!"

N-bomb

(see Putin thanks to ABM rockets in East Europe!)

RETF

P+CM

(see my trips to Europe on N-bomb, 1978.



ideology of 2d-stroke / vital / Type I; consistent  
+ D-L

other hand, in addition to 2 ventricles  
coronary; venous; stem pul; empiric

5-7-9 BOOK

(↓)  
How does one get there?

[When is there?  
i.e. other NWS  
+ NWS

Moving; throats; nodules;  
gambles

Cells cannot not occupied:  
even of most cells

(see S.B.)

Elkins not well analyzed: + limited NWS

The usual account - CW, SU,  
or McN on 1961 - ["Worst-case policy" - UIC]

is no false as the Q.M. for VN!

Cybernetic

frag? No-one delusion is over-rated;

cf. Melt-down?  
More like VN, or  
frag?

(Katusa = VN?  
gambles  
his)

(adverb. nature)  
As in Q.M. the role of high-level

- the actual ans are misunderstood

+ constraints, "jims"

- out the <sup>just</sup> "role of conscious ventricles gambles,  
with just risks (of catastrophe)  
is unknown

- out of hypo - to go, Cybers, public

as in VN, question is: What has to happen,  
change, be done, to get out?

What is not enough?!

(little understood in VN  
to this day

cf. Cybers, frag, Pok

+ see role of Empiric (and Don Paul): both  
ignored by Dalby; + not understood



How did SAC / other pilots / crews (mil-hel  
commanders & planners) explain / justify to  
themselves

targeting / attacking a city is aggressive  
but 2d-strike revenge / retal (for cities!)  
<sup>su-PS</sup>  
(or, attack

pre-emption

D-L

PS - esc

(anticipatory revenge?)

also, striking second first?

(for D-L; but, "if" will  
but on cities...

Also, commitment to this will date  
the from beginning a first or prompt  
strike - "stay on-alert"!

CINCSAC - "your drainbird commitment  
to do this on order  
keeps us safe"

(But Kelly wanted them to do it, in  
event. He might have ordered it  
despite a declaration no-cities policy (McN '62!)



5-7-9

Book

What were the policy implications

and implications for understanding of  
our leadership

of a "Q.M." essay?

- first changing party / press isn't enough

("4 days of naval gunfire")

3-8 years of RT isn't  
enough

- Telling Pres the truth isn't enough.

- Mutual Expe doesn't " "

- first stopping bombing of NVN  
or "agitating..." " "

- Risk of misf. war; + see (arrest?) (Not clear  
from PP...)

- It's still going on

- Premiers all lie

WB WITH DOCS?

ON CURRENT OPS

- potential role of Congress

- limits of official power (Pres - WWII (Japan))

Astorian  
journalism



5-7-9

- Use HR (Kohn) as OTW as control  
on what RAND thought the SU threat  
was -

Samuel not critical (of RAND  
colleagues, or anyone, inc. Newman)  
was his estimates of SU minutes/bombs  
then or in future!

+ see R-290

For Aug/May estimates  
were unknown to RAND -

and were not included in the  
range of possibilities!

(see by 1970 "story" of RAND R's.



How could we...? believe

40W - pretty "mimic" SU attack.

(Robinson)

saying US from Pearl Harbor also a threat!

Pearl Harbor

- did happen

SU:

Coordinated attack; special training "How far did it"

SU - planned attack (But far "hard to" execute in China)

(only, RAND - planned)

How "easy" (not SAC!)

would do its  
minor work of

"us" (RAND,

not USAF)

US "detour" steal

SEA

in this way.

SU did hide deployment to Cuba,

(+ "lie")

Berlin crisis

R bluffs (H + R - TS 20%)

SIA did indicate MRBMs/IRBMs

(vs. Germany!)

(We don't know: U-2

satellites T/R

Elint

SU AA (BDM - Marshall/Kofter)

Decision for NAR; Lin Lee Wan

NAR - saying 100M by GD

saying Europe (like USOP)

me - saying China

Printing UA

Cities



5-8-9

told to: Spurgeon Kerry  
(Munro?)  
Ray Henthoff

see Frothingham book  
(told?)

AWM } What to do if war? knowable  
AWW } (AWM)

D-L

SUSAC small  
(natl of SU non-obst  
US knowledge/intell

WWK = center Polaris with D-L: B-1

CF

no cities

region - same cities

(all) more photos ITER

Central Data (4 bought)

later, AWW: ABM (Boeing?)

Depression

Sozial - better for financial

suning

stock trading

me/AWW + unre

depression (1959 - May 3 mos. old  
<sup>high</sup>

Plot of abstinence

with May - "end of marriage

depression

(abstinence)

(x see with Robert, abstinence: 9 mos  
going to Aug!

[Plot: buildup of B-47's  
Knap

B-58

B-52

(allies, Japan)

MM

accuracy

("managing")

Result of Bernils

(Polaris, Poseidon



5-409

## Messing Miracles

Salah Osborn (google)

see list of what BHO looks in vision  
(Blum)

[Was there a major conflict of interest  
between US + SU?

given that neither could really  
change the nature of the other's regime,  
in next 25 years (one would have said,  
longer) — had no alternative to coexistence

(K knew that, + unnecessary; + B + M; didn't  
blame (+ Mao)?)

Did either "need" to win in the  
region of central for influence?

~~SE~~ forgot, of course  
(SU, never! till Afghan!)



4-10-9

Compare NFO to

1) first say No.

(NOT!)

2) conditions + safe  
sex

from point of view  
of <sup>mean use</sup> (abolitionists)  
charity advocates



4-10-9

Have an  
Environmental  
Impact Statement

on the war plans!

El Cerrito  
159